

6.4.9. Oscillations in 3-space

The general claim in this book (concerning chapter I.) for an *entity* Ψ to exist is, that we demand it to contain at least one *quantum* of some frequency energy $\hbar\omega$.

6.4.9.1. Review of the Quantum Mechanical Circle Oscillator

In section 3.3 we introduced the plane excited circle oscillator I. (3.148) and (3.163)

$$(6.225) \quad \psi_{\pm}^{\circ} = a_{\circ\pm}^{\dagger} |0,0\rangle = |1, \pm 1\rangle = 2\tilde{r}(\rho) \odot e^{\pm i\omega t},$$

where \odot is the transversal plane symmetry factor with $|e^{i\theta}| = |\odot| = 1$, and the radial distribution is auto-normalized $\langle 1, \pm 1 | 1, \pm 1 \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} (2\tilde{r}(\rho))^2 e^{\pm i\phi} e^{\mp i\phi} d\rho = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^2 e^{-\rho^2} d\rho = 1$.

This is an eigenvalue solution to the angular momentum quantum operator equation (3.167)

$$(6.226) \quad \hat{L}_3 |1, \pm 1\rangle \doteq \pm 1 \hbar |1, \pm 1\rangle.$$

Where the angular momentum operator \hat{L}_3 (3.103) govern a rotating state (6.225) in a plane that is transversal to a 1-vector \vec{L}_3^+ *direction* for one quantum $|\vec{L}_3^+| = \hbar = 1$ of the angular momentum, in an analogy with the classical angular momentum 1-vector as (3.171)

$$(6.227) \quad \vec{L}_3^+ = \vec{\omega} = \vec{n} = \mathbf{n} \sim \hat{L}_3 \sim \hat{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \text{One Quantum.}^{328}$$

For simplification, we use the autonomous angular frequency energy $\omega = 1$ so that the phase angle (e.g. $\varphi, \phi, \psi \sim \omega t$) is the same as the development parameter internal in the *entity*.

Removing the radial and the circular distributing factors $2\tilde{r}(\rho) \odot$ we have a pure unitary oscillator

$$(6.228) \quad \hat{\psi}_{\pm} = |\pm 1\rangle = e^{\pm i\psi} \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\phi}_{\pm} = |\pm 1\rangle = e^{\pm i\phi},$$

where there is no specified physical *direction* in a 3-space. (Descartes: No Extension.)

In opposition to this traditional view, we led the transversal angular momentum *quantum* represent the *quality of direction* by the unit 1-vector \mathbf{n} normal to the angular rotation plane *direction* unit $\mathbf{i}_{\perp\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{n}$, whence we define a frame *direction*, e.g. $\sigma_3 := \mathbf{n}$, whereby we have the transversal bivector plane *direction* $\mathbf{i}_3 = \sigma_2\sigma_1 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3 = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{n}$ for the angular rotation plane. By this *primary quality of direction*, we rewrite (6.228)

$$(6.229) \quad \hat{\psi}_{\pm\mathbf{i}_3} = |\pm 1\rangle_3 = e^{\pm i_3\psi} = \hat{\psi}_{\pm\sigma_3} = e^{\pm i\sigma_3\psi}.$$

We know from the definitions, that this 1-rotor exists in the plane *direction* spanned by $\{\mathbf{i}_3\}$.

This quantum oscillating rotor possesses an angular momentum *direction* from which we endow the angular momentum quantum operator idea for \hat{L}_3 with a bivector *direction*

$$(6.230) \quad \mathbf{L}_3 = \hbar\lambda_3\mathbf{i}_3 = \hbar\lambda_3\mathbf{i}\sigma_3, \quad \text{as a transversal bivector angular momentum operator,}$$

where $\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *real scalar operator* span from the supporting *direction* $\mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3$.

From this, we now rewrite the eigenvalue equation (6.226) for the angular momentum

$$(6.231) \quad (\hbar\lambda_3\mathbf{i}_3)|\pm 1\rangle_3 \doteq \pm 1 \hbar \mathbf{i}_3 |\pm 1\rangle_3$$

of *one* free subton state that defines an eigenvalue *direction* $\mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3$ for the operator $\mathbf{L}_3 = \hbar\lambda_3\mathbf{i}_3$.

The *direction* bivector eigenvalue just replaces the traditional complex number eigenvalue.

Consider the fact that $\mathbf{i}_3 = e^{i_3\pi/2}$ operating by \mathbf{i}_3 rotate by a phase shift $\pi/2$ in its own plane *direction*, then $\mathbf{i}_3|\pm 1\rangle_3 = e^{i_3\pi/2} e^{\pm i_3\psi} = e^{\pm i_3\varphi}$, where $\pm\varphi = (\pi/2 \pm \psi)$.

Whence we have the *real scalar operator* angular momentum eigenvalue equation

$$(6.232) \quad (\hbar\lambda_3\mathbf{i}_3)e^{\pm i_3\psi} \doteq \pm 1 \hbar \mathbf{i}_3 e^{\pm i_3\psi} \Rightarrow \lambda_3 e^{\pm i_3\varphi} = \pm 1 e^{\pm i_3\varphi} \Rightarrow e^{\mp i_3\varphi} \lambda_3 e^{\pm i_3\varphi} = \pm 1.$$

The *direction* is now given in the wavefunction $\mathbf{i}_3(e^{\pm i_3\psi}) = (e^{\pm i_3\psi})\mathbf{i}_3$ by $\mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3$ and too implicit in the plane exponential function $e^{\pm i_3\psi}$ *direction*. (an analogy to [18] (8.25) p.271.)

From (6.232) we see that $|\langle \lambda_3 \rangle| = 1$. Do we count $\hbar = 1$ ³²⁹ we simply get $\lambda_3 = \pm 1$ and by that just $\pm \mathbf{i}_3 e^{\pm i_3\psi} = \pm 1 \mathbf{i}_3 e^{\pm i_3\psi}$ as a tautology for a free subton excitation.

This subton excitation performs a free (external) angular momentum *direction*.

³²⁸ Counting the cyclical *direction* with the causal counting operator $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ we get the causal *direction* like angular momentum.

³²⁹ $\hbar=1$ just means as we know, that energy and frequency is the same *quality* measured by the same *quantity* unit.

This is the foundation to create and by that define a frame *direction* for physical *entities*.

When we use the 1-vector *direction* $\vec{L}_3^+ = \hbar\sigma_3$, we have the transversal bivector $\mathbf{L}_3^+/\hbar = \mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3$, representing the oscillating angular momentum with the *direction quality of one quantum*.

6.4.9.2. Multi Excitations of Angular Momentum Internal in One Entity

When two angular momentum excitations as components of an *entity* exist in different planes, we know from § 6.3.5.2 that their product does not commute. Therefore, we use the canonical sandwich method by half-angle rotor operations as indicated (6.164)-(6.166)

$$(6.233) \quad \psi_{k\pm}^{1/2} = U_{\phi_k} = e^{+1/2 i_k \phi_k}.$$

The question now is, will this affect the angular momentum operator components \sim (6.230)

$$(6.234) \quad \mathbf{L}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{i}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{i}\sigma_k.$$

A guess could be that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \sim 1/2$. We will qualify this for an *entity* $\Psi_{1/2}$ below in section 6.5. ↓

6.4.9.3. Intuition of Two Perpendicular Exited Circle Oscillators Inside one Entity

Presuming an *entity* Ψ_3 in 3-space we demand at least one *quantum* excitation of angular momentum. We imagine a local frame by $\sigma_3 \sim \vec{L}_3^+$, i.e., we choose a local *direction* for Ψ_3 in our intuition, which is represented by the plane circular oscillator of the unitary circle group $\odot_3 = \{U_{\theta}: \theta \rightarrow e^{i_3\theta} \in U(1) | \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ that exists in the even geometric algebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ for the 3-space. As (6.31) and (6.119) $\mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3$ we have that the chiral volume pseudoscalar \mathbf{i} turn the angular momentum 1-vector *direction* $\sigma_3 = \vec{L}_3^+/\hbar$ into its dual transversal *bivector*, that is the true internal representative for the free *direction for one quantum of angular momentum* ($\hbar=1$),

$$(6.235) \quad \mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_3 = \mathbf{i}\vec{L}_3^+/\hbar = \mathbf{L}_3^+/\hbar. \quad \text{(a unit bivector).}$$

We remember that $\mathbf{i}_3 = \sigma_2\sigma_1$ where we have both σ_2 and σ_1 is perpendicular to σ_3 , and further that their transversal plane bivectors $\mathbf{i}_3, \mathbf{i}_2$ and \mathbf{i}_1 are mutual perpendicular.

We choose the orthonormal 1-vector dextral basis $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ as the autonomous frame for our fundamental *entity* Ψ_3 . Implied from this we have its autonomous quaternion basis $\{1, \mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{i}_3\}$.

With this knowledge we compare this to the perpendicular unitary 1-rotors

$U_{\phi} := e^{1/2 i_3 \phi}$ and $U_{\psi} := e^{1/2 i_2 \psi}$ in the *directions* \mathbf{i}_3 and \mathbf{i}_2 , which was essential for the spherical coordinates in section 6.4.8.

This unitary 1-rotor $U_{\phi} := e^{1/2 i_3 \phi}$ oscillation manages the plane *direction* \mathbf{i}_3 , whereby all 1-vectors rotates along this \mathbf{i}_3 plane. We now choose to rotate the internal autonomous frame $\{\sigma_j\}$ relative to an external dextral frame $\{e_j\}$ that's fixed to the surroundings. This plane rotation oscillation is performed by the 1-rotor U_{ϕ} using the canonical form (6.70)

$$(6.236) \quad \sigma_j = U_{\phi} e_j U_{\phi}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{R}_{\phi} e_j.$$

Because the 1-rotor is in the \mathbf{i}_3 plane we have $e_3 = \sigma_3$ as the steady *direction* for our *entity* Ψ_3 .

When we e.g., take the start reference from a fixed external *direction* 1-vector e_1 , we rotate

$$(6.237) \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma_1(\phi) = \mathcal{R}_{\phi} e_1 = U_{\phi} e_1 U_{\phi}^{\dagger} = U_{\phi} U_{\phi} e_1 = U_{\phi}^2 e_1 = e^{i_3 \phi} e_1.$$

Then seen autonomous from the *entity* Ψ_3 frame $\{\sigma_j\}$ the surrounding frame is rotating reversed

$$(6.238) \quad e_1(\phi) = U_{\phi}^{\dagger} \sigma_1 U_{\phi} = e^{-i_3 \phi} \sigma_1, \quad \text{and} \quad e_2(\phi) = U_{\phi}^{\dagger} \sigma_2 U_{\phi} = e^{-i_3 \phi} \sigma_2$$

Seen from the external lab world this frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is fixed.

For the orthogonal 1-rotor circle oscillation $U_{\psi} := e^{1/2 i_2 \psi}$ around the perpendicular 1-vector axis σ_2 with the angular momentum quantum $\sigma_2 = \vec{L}_2^+/\hbar$ in the 1-vector *direction*

$$(6.239) \quad \sigma_2 = \sigma_2(\phi) = \mathcal{R}_{\phi} e_2 = e^{i_3 \phi} e_2 = \mathbf{i}_3 e^{i_3 \phi} e_1. \quad \text{(note } \sigma_2 = \mathbf{i}_3 \sigma_1 \Leftrightarrow e_2 = \mathbf{i}_3 e_1).$$

And the dual transversal angular momentum *direction* bivector for this $L_2^+/\hbar = \mathbf{i}_2 = \mathbf{i}\sigma_2$.

Then we designed the external picture so that this plane for angular momentum has its *direction* rotating in the following oscillating way