

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.281) \quad & |\psi_{\Sigma \pm \ominus \perp \omega e_3}(t)\rangle = A(N_+ a_{\ominus + \omega}^\dagger + N_- a_{\ominus - \omega}^\dagger)_{e_3} |0,0\rangle = A(N a_{\ominus + \omega}^\dagger + N a_{\ominus - \omega}^\dagger + M a_{\ominus \pm \omega}^\dagger)_{e_3} |0,0\rangle \\
 & = \frac{1}{2N+M} \odot 2\tilde{r}(\rho) \left(\sum_{n^+=1}^N e^{+i(\omega t + \theta_{n^+})} + \sum_{n^-=1}^N e^{-i(\omega t + \theta_{n^-})} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{N+M} e^{\pm i(\omega t + \theta_n)} \right)_{e_3} \\
 & = \odot \tilde{r}(\rho) \left(\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n^+=1}^N \left(e^{+i(\omega t + \theta_{n^+} - \theta_{n^-})} + e^{-i(\omega t + \theta_{n^+} - \theta_{n^-})} \right) + \frac{2}{M} \sum_{n=N}^{N+M} e^{\pm i(\omega t + \theta_n)} \right)_{e_3} \\
 & = \odot \tilde{r}(\rho) \left(\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n^+=1}^N 2\cos(\omega t + \theta_{n^+} - \theta_{n^-}) + \frac{2}{M} \sum_{n=N}^{N+M} e^{\pm i(\omega t + \theta_n)} \right)_{e_3} \text{ for } \forall \rho \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

If successful at the same time to phase modulating the first N pairs of **double±subtons** with individual phase differences $\omega t + \theta_{n^+} - \theta_{n^-}$, we run into the problem, that the **double±subtons** make pairs in $N!$ ways since their **subtons** $|\psi_{+\ominus \perp \omega e_3}(t)\rangle$ respectively $|\psi_{-\ominus \perp \omega e_3}(t)\rangle$ are individually indistinguishable. The remaining $M = |N_+ - N_-|$ with the same helicity, do not possess relative phase angles, as they individually θ_n only relate to the symmetry $\odot = \{U_\theta: \theta \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in U(1) \mid \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \leftrightarrow \{\theta_n \mid \theta_n \in [0, 2\pi[\}$.

Overall, the pair phase angle coding loses its meaning and information disappears in the confusion of indistinguishability between creation A and annihilation B information. Here we recall that the relativistic idea dictates that the development parameter has the same inner value at annihilation as at creation $t = t_B = t_A$. We conclude that local multiple *simultaneous* phase modulation of each **double±subtons** is impossibly to read. Thus, a local microscopic quantum mechanical angular phase angle encoding of an ensemble transversal plane wave of simultaneous **subtons** will not transfer any distinguishable information. By contrast, ordinary macroscopic frequency\phase modulation when it is related to the idea of a carrier reference $\vec{\omega}_c [\hat{\omega}], \{t_c\} [\hat{\omega}^{-1}]$ is a well-tested option.¹⁴⁷

¹⁴⁷ All data communication in optical fibre, coaxial cable, Wi-Fi, cellular, DVB, DAB and all other radio signal coding uses this principle. E.g., the newest I know about is by COFDM method in practice.

3.5.4. One double±subton as an Information q-bit Real

The idea of the **double±subton** as expressed in (3.238) and (3.244)

$$(3.282) \quad \overline{AB}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta') \sim |\psi_{\ominus \theta' \perp \omega e_3}^{\vec{z}}(t)\rangle = 2\tilde{r}(\rho) \cos(\omega t + \theta')_{\perp e_3}, \text{ for } \forall \rho \geq 0, \phi = \omega t + \theta'.$$

Here, the encoded angular phase shift θ' between the two **subtons** is interesting. It is a real **quantity** that can assume all values. $\theta' \in [0, 2\pi[\subset \mathbb{R}$. (Identical modulo 2π in \mathbb{R}). The **quality** of this is just the angular difference in the transversal plane for the two co-created **subtons**.

This possibility **quality** of a quantum real phase information θ' designates the name a **q-bit** real. This '**q-bit**' differs from the binary value of **quantity** for the binary **quality** just to be able to decide between the options an **entity** '1' or no **entity** '0'. My claim, for a physical 'bit' as a primitive form, is that, having a physical setup (at a given temperature T) is **quality** with the ability to create one **subton** (transmit) and to annihilate the **subton** (receive and measure). The binary **quantity** is then to determine the receipt of at least one **subton** ω '1' or non '0' to receive an expected **subton** in the **quality** at the setup form in physical reality that contains the bit. In practice, static data bits are macroscopic states with some quantum charges, but dynamic measurements always involve **subtons**, (usually, several elemental quantum charges or **subtons** per bit, if $\hbar\omega \ll kT$).

A similar primitive setup structure in physics is needed to applicate a determination of the real angular phase θ' **q-bit** information **quantity** of one **double±subton** $\overline{AB}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta')$, in which we are forced to keep each pair separated. Hereto we must demand $\hbar\omega \gg kT$ for the setup, to avoid thermal creation\annihilation.

We may think that for optical photons, it is possible to maintain one **double±subton**, in between two parallel mirrors A and B which are located in resonance. The creation at A and annihilation at B are matched by synchronous creation in B with annihilation in A and vice versa. The helicity \pm change orientations in these reflections and the phase angle coding θ' conceivably preserved.

The designation \overline{AB} in $\overline{AB}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}$ specify the conservation of a local **double±subton** in its reversing resonance with the mirrors as a **quality** containing the real **q-bit**.

The idea is further, we can let two individual **q-bits** $\overline{AB}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta')$ and $\overline{CD}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta'')$ interact to $[\overline{AB}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta'), \overline{CD}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta'')] \rightarrow \overline{EF}\Psi_\omega^{\vec{z}}(\theta' + \theta'')$ to a new local **double±subton** as a new **q-bit** real.

From the two **quality** containers $\overline{AB} \oplus \overline{CD}$ to a container \overline{EF} , a structure in physics that contains the real **q-bit quantity** $\theta' + \theta'' \in \mathbb{R}$ as a real number.

The **quality** of such a process with a real **q-bit** is additive; a real **q-bit** as a sum of two real **q-bit**. I.e., the real numbers $\theta''' = \theta' + \theta'' \in \mathbb{R}$ are **quantities** that are represented by the **quality** of the physical setup we call real **q-bit** addition.

In this way, such a setup may be called a **quantum computer**.¹⁴⁸

¹⁴⁸ A 2022 revision comment to this is that **qubits** concerning quaternion information shall be researched further in the future. Note the difference **q-bit** \leftrightarrow **qubit** between reals and quaternions associated to the Bloch sphere.