

If we take the angular frequency of the carrier for the same  $\omega_{c,A} = \omega_{c,B}$ ,<sup>139</sup> hence the reference for space and time is the same, therefore, the extension is given by the time it takes for the **subton** to exist multiplied by the velocity of **subtons**. The time is to count the angular phase.<sup>140</sup> We must ascertain that the information about the clock's value  $\{t_c\}$  is driven *FORWARD* at the speed of light  $c$ .

An intuit existence of a *NOW*-state-mode of **subtons** is that they transmit one phase angle value

$$(3.266) \quad \phi_{c,AB} = \phi_{c,A} = \phi_{c,B} = \omega_c t_{c,AB} = \omega_c t_{c,A} = \omega_c t_{c,B}$$

This is called the instantaneous *NOW* value of the quantum phase angle information. The quantum phase angle as the **subton** produce is in this way the past through the extension

$$(3.267) \quad \phi_3 = \omega_c t_3.$$

Expressed in an ironic daily manner; the past is light-years away (or just light-seconds away). This is the classical paradox of relativity with a light like *null line* path,  $(c\Delta t_3)^2 - (\Delta x_3)^2 = 0$ .<sup>141</sup>

### 3.5.2.2. Macroscopic Coherent Amplitude Modulation

We saw at (3.260)-(3.262) that a carrier can be amplitude modulated, if this is done phase angle coherent, without affecting the eternal constant angular frequency  $\omega_c$  of the carrier.

### 3.5.2.3. Phase Modulation

Phase modulation is a relative concept. - I.e., the phase angle  $\phi$  is varied relative to a reference phase angle  $\phi_c$  of a phantom carrier with an angular frequency  $\omega_c$  as a reference.

This  $\omega_c$  is also a reference clock that generates development parameter  $t_c$  for that carrier. The macro-modulating phase difference is called  $\Delta\phi$ , hence the modulated variable phase angle gets

$$(3.268) \quad \phi = \phi_c + \Delta\phi = \omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi = \omega_c t_c + \Delta\omega \cdot t_c + \omega_c \Delta t$$

Such a phase modulation can then be regarded as frequency modulation FM  $\omega = \omega_c + \Delta\omega$ , or time modulation TM:  $t_{TM} = t_c + \Delta t$ , where the creation of **subtons** is controlled relative to the carrier clock. Here we shall not elaborate further on direct time modulation, because with  $\phi = \omega_c t_{TM} = \omega_c (t_c + \Delta t)$  it ends up in phase modulation or particular cases synchronous amplitude modulation.

For frequency modulation, the phase angle is given by  $\phi_{FM} = (\omega_c + \Delta\omega)t_c = \omega_{FM}t_c = \omega t_\omega + \theta$ . Here it is thus possible to create **subtons** with different angular frequencies  $\omega_{FM}$ , but these must all be related to the carrier  $\omega_c$  as too  $t_c$  to make internal sense for a *spectrum*.

(Those can assumingly be related to one external reference  $|\hat{\omega}| \equiv 1 [|\hat{\omega}|]$ ).

The signal in our intuition has one *direction* as a transversal plane wave, it is  $\forall \vec{\omega}_{FM} \parallel \vec{\omega}_c$ .

If we look at each  $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\omega}_{FM}$ , each **subton**  $|\psi_{\pm\vec{\omega}}(\phi_{\vec{\omega}})\rangle$  generates its own individual development parameter  $t_{\vec{\omega}}$  or angular phase angle  $\phi_{\vec{\omega}} = \omega t_{\vec{\omega}}$ , that will be indefinite in terms of  $\phi_c$ , in that  $\phi_{\vec{\omega}} = \omega t_c + \theta$ . Since this **subton** individual concept of time does not help us in our intuition, we adhere to the carrier clock  $\{t_c\}$  as the reference for the signal giving the development parameter  $t_c$ , where  $\Delta t \equiv 0$  in (3.268).

This time reference  $t_c$  is linked to the carrier circle oscillator  $\vec{\omega}_c$ . (Ideal From the first **subton**.)

The modulation as a concept can only become meaningful when it varied over time.

The time-dependent modulated frequency  $\omega_{FM}(t_c) = |\vec{\omega}(t_c)|$  provides phase modulation

$$(3.269) \quad \phi_M(t_c) = \omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi(t_c) = \omega_{FM}(t_c) t_c = (\omega_c + \Delta\omega_{FM}(t_c)) t_c = \phi_{\vec{\omega}}(t_c).$$

<sup>139</sup>  $\omega_{c,A} \neq \omega_{c,B}$  by the Doppler effect when two events A and B are in relativistic different velocity references. (cooling of the background radiation by expansion).

<sup>140</sup> Here I ask the reader; what is the speed of times? Is it the angular frequency of the carrier  $\omega_c$ ? Or is it  $c$ ?

<sup>141</sup> Look at chapter II. 5.7 for the relativistic issue of different *directions* in a Minkowski space metric  $(c\Delta t_3\gamma_0)^2 + (\Delta x_3\gamma_3)^2 = 0$

When the signal contain myriads of simultaneous **subtons** at one specified frequency  $\omega_{FM}(t_c)$ , the angular phase modulation between them can never be read (demodulated) because they are individually indistinguishable. Therefore simultaneously only one phase angle value  $\phi_M(t_c)$  for one  $\omega_{FM}(t_c)$  at one development stamp  $t_c$  is a possibility in a macroscopic modulated signal.

What we control in the signal, when we modulate the phase angle  $\Delta\phi(t_c)$  after the reference clock  $\{t_c\}$  from the carrier, is the angular frequency energy of the created **subtons**

$$(3.270) \quad \omega_{FM}(t_c) = \omega_c + \Delta\phi(t_c)/t_c \Leftrightarrow \Delta\omega_{FM}(t_c) = \Delta\phi(t_c)/t_c$$

Here it is very important to remember that individual **subtons**  $|\psi_{\pm\vec{\omega}}(t_c, \Delta\phi_{\vec{\omega}})\rangle$  only carrier the instantaneous *NOW*-values of the phase angle difference  $\Delta\phi_{\vec{\omega},A} = \Delta\phi_{\vec{\omega},B} = \Delta\phi_M(t_{c,AB})$  or the modulated phase angle  $\phi_{\vec{\omega},A} = \phi_{\vec{\omega},B} = \phi_M(t_{c,AB})$ , as  $t_{c,A} = t_{c,B}$ . This is conveyed by all **subtons**  $|\psi_{\pm\vec{\omega}}(t_c, \Delta\phi_{\vec{\omega}})\rangle_{AB}$  just like the reference parameter  $\{t_c\}$  for all carrier **subtons**  $|\psi_{\pm\vec{\omega}_{c\perp\odot}}(t_c)\rangle_{AB}$ . - That is the signal information from A to B

The **subton** not only retains  $\vec{\omega}_{FM,A} = \vec{\omega}_{FM,B}$ , but also the phase angle  $\phi_{M,A} = \phi_{M,B}$  relative to the carrier phase angle  $\phi_{c,A} = \phi_{c,B}$ , which too is retained from A to B.

All this is here based on the carrier  $\vec{\omega}_{c,A} = \vec{\omega}_{c,B}$  is substantial for the described ideology for the carrier **subtons**. This implies that a relative ratio from A to B is unchanged (preserved).<sup>142</sup>

We have omitted the effect that the signal and carrier **subtons** can interact with the environment.<sup>143</sup> We accept the loss of part of the **subtons** in the channel is acceptable.

The conclusion is that the development-dependent phase modulation PM is pseudonym with a varied frequency FM modulation, that is  $\Delta\phi(t_c) = \Delta\phi_{PM}(t_c) = \Delta\omega_{FM}(t_c)t_c$ , when we view by intuition from a chronometer time  $\{t_c\}$  given by a transmitter carrier phase angle  $\phi_c = \omega_c t_c$ .

For this we consider the carrier wave described from the transmitter

$$(3.271) \quad \left| \psi_{\Sigma\pm\vec{\omega}_c\perp\odot}^{\text{macro}}(t_c) \right\rangle \rightsquigarrow W_{\pm\vec{\omega}_c}^{\text{helix}}(t_c, x_3) = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) (e^{\pm i(\omega_c t_c - k_{c,3} x_3)})_{\perp\vec{\omega}_c}, \text{ where } k_{c,3} = \omega_c / c.$$

Here we keep the transmitter amplitude constant  $A_A = A(t_c) = A(0_c)$  for  $\forall t_c \geq 0$

When (3.271) is modulated, the transmitter phase angle  $\phi(t_c) = \omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi = (\omega_c + \Delta\omega_{FM}(t_c)) t_c$ .

This can also be formulated as FM modulation  $\omega_{FM}(t_c) = (\omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi) / t_c = \omega_c + \Delta\omega_{FM}(t_c)$ .

The wave *direction*  $\mathbf{e}_3$  is conceived to define the *direction* of all the circle oscillators

$\vec{\omega}_{FM} = \omega_{FM} \mathbf{e}_3$ . The modulated wave around an imaginary reference carrier is then

$$(3.272) \quad \left| \psi_{\Sigma\pm\vec{\omega}_{FM}\perp\odot}^{\text{macro}}(\phi_{PM}) \right\rangle \rightsquigarrow W_{\pm\vec{\omega}_{FM}}^{\text{helix}}(\phi(t_c), x_3) = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) (e^{\pm i(\omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi(t_c) - k_{c,3} x_3)})_{\perp\mathbf{e}_3} = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) (e^{\pm i(\omega_{FM}(t_c) t_c - k_{c,3} x_3)})_{\perp\mathbf{e}_3}.$$

This term expresses a circularly polarized modulated wave with helicity +1 or -1.

For a linearly polarized wave from a transmitter dipole, we can rewrite the wave as

$$(3.273) \quad W_{+\vec{\omega}_{FM}}^{\text{helix}}(\phi(t_c), x_3, \theta_d) + W_{-\vec{\omega}_{FM}}^{\text{helix}}(\phi(t_c), x_3, \theta_d) = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) (e^{+i(\omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi(t_c) + \theta_d - k_{c,3} x_3)} + e^{-i(\omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi(t_c) + \theta_d - k_{c,3} x_3)}) = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) 2 \cos(\omega_c t_c + \Delta\phi(t_c) + \theta_d - k_{c,3} x_3) = A_A A_{\text{loss}}(x_3) 2 \cos(\omega_{FM}(t_c) t_c + \theta_d - k_{c,3} x_3).$$

<sup>142</sup> Here the Doppler effect is excluded from consideration, since speeds of events are not yet included in this concept.

Anyway, in the case of Doppler-displacement, the mutual multiplicative ratios between the different frequencies are conserved.

<sup>143</sup> Whether it is sufficient with the conditions  $|\vec{\omega}_{FM,A}| = |\vec{\omega}_{FM,B}|$  and  $|\vec{\omega}_{c,A}| = |\vec{\omega}_{c,B}|$  under mutual changing in *direction* in interaction as an annihilation B with recreation in B towards a new event C for **subtons**  ${}^{ABC}\psi_{\omega}$ , like multi-interaction in a transmission conductor (optical fibres, waveguides and coaxial cables) **Subtons**  ${}^{AB\dots C}\psi_{\omega}$  will not be discussed here, but it seems to be the case of the success we already have experienced in the 20<sup>th</sup> century.