

Especially we want to write electromagnetic dipole radiation consisting of many $n=1,2 \dots N$ **double±subtons** the effect of the phase angles $\theta_n = \theta_d + \Delta\theta_n$ where any phase noise can be considered negligible mean $\overline{\Delta\theta_n} \approx 0 \Rightarrow \theta_d \approx \overline{\theta_n}$. Hence, that θ_d represents the angular **direction** in space of the generating dipoles¹²⁶ as a macroscopic common.

The intuition of (3.249) with polarization **direction** $\mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} \parallel \odot \perp \mathbf{e}_3$ is then

$$(3.250) \quad \left| \psi_{\pm \odot \perp \omega \mathbf{e}_3}^2(t) \right|_{\mathbf{e}_{\theta_d}} = AN4\tilde{r}(\rho) \odot \cos(\omega t + \theta_d)_{\perp \mathbf{e}_3}, \text{ for } \forall \rho \geq 0 \text{ and } AN=1/2, \text{ as } \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1.$$

This is apparently in line with a traditional way of describing linear polarization, but we must note that the paired quantum mechanical angles are the same θ_d as a macroscopic N angular **direction** in space, resulting from the simultaneous creation of (3.250) as a macroscopic cause.

The **direction** of the causative \mathbf{e}_{θ_d} (a oscillating dipole) controls the linear polarization of the macroscopic field with the amplitude $E_a \in \mathbb{R}$, the power $|E_a|^2$ (energy flow) is proportional to the number N of **subtons**, that is, according to (3.236) $|E_a| \sim \sqrt{N}$, and we call the field amplitude of one **subton-pair** for E_d , this field is then written¹²⁷

$$(3.251) \quad \vec{E} = E \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} = E_a \cos(\omega t) \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} = \sqrt{N} E_d 2 \cos(\omega t) \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d}, \quad \perp \mathbf{e}_3.$$

The common quantum pairs of the phase angle difference are now omitted and hidden in the dipole **direction** \mathbf{e}_{θ_d} . The *physical linear* field polarisation lies in that **direction** \mathbf{e}_{θ_d} is multiplied by a real number $\sqrt{N} E_d 2 \cos(\omega t) \in \mathbb{R}$.

The individual quantum phases of the ensemble (3.250) is now stored in the unitary circle group $\odot = \{U_\theta: \theta \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in U(1) | \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$, that here includes the transversal idea in our intuition.(3.250)(3.229)(3.232)(3.222)

All subtons is created in one **direction** according to the motto (3.222). This requires our intuition for the form of development $\odot \perp \mathbf{e}_3 \rightarrow \odot \perp \omega \mathbf{e}_3 \rightarrow \odot \perp \omega t \mathbf{e}_3 \sim \odot \perp \phi \mathbf{e}_3 \rightarrow \odot \perp x_3 \mathbf{e}_3$,

that the **direction** $\hat{\omega} \parallel \mathbf{e}_3$ is preserved into the future, given from the past.

Looking at a particular 'place'¹²⁸ in 'time' $x_3 = ct_3$ along the line $x_3 \mathbf{e}_3$, we get

$$(3.252) \quad \vec{E}(t, x_3) = \sqrt{N} E_d 2 \cos\left(\omega t - \frac{\omega x_3}{c}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} = \sqrt{N} E_d 2 \cos(\omega t - k_3 x_3) \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d}, \quad k_3 = \frac{\omega}{c}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} \perp \mathbf{e}_3.$$

This is the traditional formula for a transverse field $\vec{E}(t, x_3)_{\parallel \mathbf{e}_{\theta_d} \perp \mathbf{e}_3}$ propagating along the **direction** \mathbf{e}_3 . For this intuition of the amplitude of the field, it is again important to note, that this is essentially different from the transversal extension of the **subton** beam that is normalized by $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ to $AN=1/2$ according to (3.250) from (3.188). Therefore, intuited as a macroscopic beam with a transversal radius of the order¹²⁹

$$(3.253) \quad \tilde{r}(\hat{\omega}) = \frac{c}{\omega} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}.$$

The 'Beam' created from one point in one **direction**¹³⁰ is by definition in this intuition straight as a rectitude line¹³¹ with this 'thickness'. (A laser beam, which aperture radius $\geq \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$ of cause).

We see (3.251) a signal field that can be modulated by changing the number N of simultaneously created **double±subtons**. This modulation is called amplitude modulation, in that it changes field amplitude $E_a \propto \sqrt{N}$, but be aware that it does not change the 'thickness' of the beam.

¹²⁶ A dipole can be considered a *linear* harmonic oscillator (in one dimension). It has the polarization **direction** along this in the transversal plane with the radiation **direction** perpendicular to this plane.

¹²⁷ Here, factor 2 is retained even though it could be hidden in the field factor E_d ; to indicate dipole parity opposition + and –.

¹²⁸ In the substance of this autonomous ontology a *place* is found by counting radians along the development axis, see Figure 3.13.

¹²⁹ I have previously (3.194) symbolised the transversal distribution as \odot

¹³⁰ This restriction is because we only involve propagation in one **direction** in all these deliberations.

¹³¹ The only way to verify whether a ruler is rectilinear is to aim with light along its egg.

3.5. Modulation of a Quantum Mechanical Field

3.5.1. The Macroscopic Modulation

Here I will draw attention to what we call modulation, as we know it from electromagnetic wave communications by radio, in cables or optic fibres.

In the concept of modulation, there are two vastly different basic ideas behind the types of macroscopic modulation: **amplitude** modulation, and **phase** modulation.

In the formula (3.251) the 'radio' modulation is not shown explicitly, this is implicitly included in the number **quantity** N for the amplitude \sqrt{N} , and the phase angle **quantity** $\phi_m = \omega t$. The phase modulation is the **quantum** phase angle divided into two **quantities** the angular frequency ω and development parameter t . As we have seen through Fourier integral theory in section 1.7.7-1.7.8 these two **quantities** complement each other.

A modulated **quantity** as a function of the development parameter

$$(3.254) \quad q_m(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{q}_m(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$

is complemented by a modulated **spectrum** as an amplitude function

$$(3.255) \quad \tilde{q}_m(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q_m(t) e^{i\omega t} dt.$$

To make the concept of modulation interesting for our conceptual world of information the modulation will have to be dependent on the evolution of the concept of time.

This is intuited as a modulation function $m(t_m)$, which depends on the modulation development parameter t_m ; by which the angular frequency **spectrum** $\tilde{m}(t_m, \omega)$ as a function of ω also will depend on this development.

What does this look like in a conceptual world of **subtons**?

We look at a **spectrum** of angular frequencies ω and thus at **subtons** with different eigen-frequency energies $\hbar\omega$ and as a consequence of this different phase angles $\phi = \omega t \in \mathbb{R}$.

These **quantities**, which for us define a **spectrum** of a full ensemble of **subtons**, are external to each of the individual **subtons**.

Here, the eigen frequency for each **subton** has its own autonomy internal reference $\hat{\omega}$.

We remember that the **subton** auto-norm is $|\hat{\omega}| \equiv 1$ [radian], so the quantum phase angle performs the inner development parameter $\phi = |\hat{\omega}| t_a = t_a = |\hat{\omega}| \phi = \phi$. But –.

In the modulation of the external **spectrum**, the intuition of the concept permits $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ as a variable **quantity**. Relative to this variable input frequency ω we introduce the idea of an *external constant carrier frequency* ω_c as a reference for $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ in the **spectrum**.

The *external reference* for this *carrier* is the external reference clock $[\hat{\omega}]$, where $|\hat{\omega}| \equiv 1$.

To take the **spectrum** as a self-consistent ensemble **entity** Ψ we have to take all the given **subton** frequencies as *internal conserved* in their existence $\forall \Psi_\omega \in \Psi$ relative to the carrier.

A constant relative measure ω/ω_c in this **spectrum** Ψ .

3.5.2. The Carrier

Are there physical **entities** that possess a **quality** concerning a constant **quantity** that could serve as a reference measuring information in a spectrum? As an everlasting constant internal reference of a signal, we introduce the idea of a carrier of angular frequency $\omega_c \in \mathbb{R}$, in which real **quantity** $\omega_c[\hat{\omega}]$ is given relative to the external reference $|\hat{\omega}| \equiv 1[\hat{\omega}]$.

For this carrier ω_c we also assign the idea of a development parameter t_c for the carrier.

The idea is, an ideal eternal carrier wave \vec{c}^ω is formed by an external intuition of a transversal plane wave as shown in Figure 3.13, with a constant internal carrier frequency ω_c relative to an externally referenced $|\hat{\omega}| \equiv 1[\hat{\omega}]$, and preferred in one **direction** $\forall \hat{\omega} \parallel \mathbf{e}_3$ for all carrier **subtons** with the transversal circle of rotation $\odot \perp \mathbf{e}_3$ of the angular frequency vector