

$$(3.101) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{N}_+ &:= a_+^\dagger a_+, \\ \hat{N}_- &:= a_-^\dagger a_-. \end{aligned}$$

Afterwards, instead of (3.82)-(3.84) we write the Hamilton eigenvalue equation as

$$(3.102) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{H}_\omega |n_+ n_- \rangle &= \hbar\omega (a_+^\dagger a_+ + a_-^\dagger a_- + 1) |n_+ n_- \rangle \\ &= \hbar\omega (\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_- + 1) |n_+ n_- \rangle \doteq \hbar\omega (n_+ + n_- + 1) |n_+ n_- \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, it is noted that, like (3.36), that  $n_+ \geq 0$  and  $n_- \geq 0$ .

In addition, we can rewrite the angular momentum (3.88) with the number operator of the rotation

$$(3.103) \quad \hat{L}_3 = -i\hbar(a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_2^\dagger a_1) = (a_+^\dagger a_+ - a_-^\dagger a_-)\hbar.$$

This reformulates the eigenvalue equation for the angular momentum

$$(3.104) \quad \hat{L}_3 |n_+ n_- \rangle = \hbar(a_+^\dagger a_+ - a_-^\dagger a_-) |n_+ n_- \rangle = \hbar(\hat{N}_+ - \hat{N}_-) |n_+ n_- \rangle \doteq \hbar(n_+ - n_-) |n_+ n_- \rangle$$

The angular momentum operator  $\hat{L}_3$  and the Hamilton operator  $\hat{H}_\omega$  has by (3.89) the same eigenstates  $|n_+ n_- \rangle$  of the rotor oscillator. When the rotating circular oscillator description indices  $(+, -)$  represent the same physical conditions as the Cartesian two-dimensional indices  $(1, 2)$  for the harmonic oscillator (3.82) that had been the tradition in the 20'th century quantum mechanics, now here we must assume that the states are the same

$$(3.105) \quad |n_+ n_- \rangle \sim |n_1 n_2 \rangle.$$

This despite the fact we know<sup>84</sup> that  $|n_+ \rangle \neq |n_1 \rangle$ ,  $|n_- \rangle \neq |n_2 \rangle$ ,  $|n_+ \rangle \neq |n_2 \rangle$ ,  $|n_- \rangle \neq |n_1 \rangle$ .

For the ground state, we note

$$(3.106) \quad |0, 0 \rangle = |0_+ 0_- \rangle = |0_1 0_2 \rangle$$

Then we can write the excited states in accordance with (3.41) as

$$(3.107) \quad |n_+ n_- \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_+!}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_-!}} (a_+^\dagger)^{n_+} (a_-^\dagger)^{n_-} |0, 0 \rangle$$

### 3.2.4. The Circular Rotating Oscillator Eigenvalues

Now we choose to describe the rotating plane oscillator with two new quantum numbers

$$(3.108) \quad n = n_+ + n_- \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad m = n_+ - n_- \in [-n, n] \subset \mathbb{N}$$

By this together with (3.102) and (3.104), the **Hamilton** and the **angular momentum** eigenvalue equations can be written

$$(3.109) \quad \hat{H}_\omega |n_+ n_- \rangle \doteq \hbar\omega (n + 1) |n_+ n_- \rangle$$

$$(3.110) \quad \hat{L}_3 |n_+ n_- \rangle \doteq \hbar m |n_+ n_- \rangle$$

$n$  and  $m$  are independent and specify together a unique eigenstate. For a given  $n$  and  $m$ , we see that if  $n_+$  increased by one, then correspondingly  $n_-$  decreased by one.

The ground state applies  $n=0 \Rightarrow m=0$ .

The first excited energy eigenstate applies  $n=1 \Rightarrow m = \pm 1$ ,

which is twice degenerated with the angular momentum either  $+\hbar 1$  or  $-\hbar 1$ .

In this way for higher given  $n$ , the quantum number  $m$  jumps with 2

$$(3.111) \quad m = -n, -n + 2, -n + 4, \dots, n - 4, n - 2, n$$

We see that energy eigenstates are degenerated with  $n + 1$  different values of  $m$ .

The name of eigenstate can be reformulated as follows

$$(3.112) \quad |n, m \rangle \sim |n_+ n_- \rangle = \left| n_+ = \frac{n+m}{2}, n_- = \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rangle.$$

<sup>84</sup> The reason is that  $|n_+, 0 \rangle$  is plane circular, and  $|n_j \rangle$  is geometric linear in its perpendicular Cartesian approach.

The eigenvalue equations of the rotation plane oscillator for the **Hamilton operator** is written as

$$(3.113) \quad \hat{H}_\omega |n, m \rangle \doteq \hbar\omega (n + 1) |n, m \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad n = n_+ + n_-,$$

and for the angular momentum operator

$$(3.114) \quad \hat{L}_3 |n, m \rangle \doteq \hbar m |n, m \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad m = n_+ - n_-$$

We repeat from (3.102) and (3.103), that the operators of this are

$\hat{H}_\omega = \hbar\omega (a_+^\dagger a_+ + a_-^\dagger a_- + 1)$  and  $\hat{L}_3 = \hbar (a_+^\dagger a_+ - a_-^\dagger a_-)$ , and compare with the stationary Schrödinger eigenvalue equation (2.67)  $\hat{H}_\omega |\psi(t) \rangle \doteq E_\omega |\psi(t) \rangle$  and rewrite (3.82) as  $\hat{H}_\omega |n, m \rangle \doteq E_{\omega, n} |n, m \rangle$ , which leads to the energy eigenvalues

$$(3.115) \quad E_{\omega, n} = (n + 1)\hbar\omega,$$

We look at the ground state energy  $E_{\omega, 0} = \hbar\omega$ . We assume that the ground state is without action - nothing happens. Therefore, I claim the synthetic judgment:

The idea of an angular frequency  $\omega$  of a rotation circle oscillation in the plane is a noumenon (as a platonic concept) for any virtual energy  $E_{\omega, 0} = \hbar\omega$  of the potential rotation plane with an expected angular frequency  $\omega$ . - *Anyway, I prefer  $\hbar=1$  in such an abstraction concept.*

### 3.2.4.2. The Rotating Circle Oscillator in Polar Coordinates

When we look at the angular momentum, we can form a more natural coordinate representation for a circle oscillator by polar **quantities**  $(\rho, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ , rather than the Cartesian **quantities**  $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ . From formula (3.48)

$$(3.116) \quad q_\omega^*(r, t) = r e^{i\omega t} = r \cos(\omega t) + i r \sin(\omega t) \in \mathbb{C}$$

with the two Cartesian dimensions (3.49)  $q_1 = r \cos(\phi)$  and  $q_2 = r \sin(\phi)$ , where  $\phi = \omega t$

in which, we have the classic image, wherein a circle oscillation the amplitude-radius  $r = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2} \geq 0$  is assumed with constant mean average  $\bar{r} = \langle \tilde{r}(\rho) \rangle$ , and  $\frac{\partial \tilde{r}}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial \tilde{r}}{\partial t} = 0$ .

The new intuition is that, the real magnitude **quantity**  $\tilde{r}(\rho) \in \mathbb{R}$  designed as a distribution function over a stochastic real radial polar coordinate  $\forall \rho \in \mathbb{R}$  for the circular rotation oscillator  $\Psi_\omega$

$$(3.117) \quad q_\omega^*(\rho, t) = \tilde{r}(\rho) e^{i\omega t} \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the angular **quantity**  $\phi = \omega t \in \mathbb{R}$  is the angle in the plane for the center polar coordinates

$$(3.118) \quad q^*(\rho, \phi) = \tilde{r}(\rho) e^{i\phi} = \tilde{r}(-\rho) e^{i(\phi+\pi)} = \tilde{r}(-\rho) e^{i\phi} e^{i\pi} = -\tilde{r}(-\rho) e^{i\phi}, \quad \text{as } e^{i\pi} = -1.$$

Here, it is noted, that a half-circle of rotation shifts the sign of the radial coordinate  $(\rho, \phi) \leftrightarrow (-\rho, \phi + \pi + 2\pi n)$ , a so-called **parity inversion**,<sup>85</sup> of the radial coordinate  $\rho \leftrightarrow -\rho$  **in a plane**, and the angular coordinate is periodic modulo  $2\pi$ ,  $(\rho, \phi) \leftrightarrow (\rho, \phi + 2\pi n)$ .

$$(3.119) \quad q^*(\rho, \phi) = q^*(\rho, \phi + 2\pi n) = \tilde{r}(\rho) e^{i\phi} = \tilde{r}(\rho) e^{i(\phi + 2\pi n)}, \quad \text{for } \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ periodical conserved.}$$

Usually, the definition interval is limited for polar coordinates to  $\{\rho \in [0, \infty[, \phi \in [0, 2\pi[ \}$ , but here we will allow redundancy and thus allow all real coordinates  $(\rho \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \mathbb{R})$ .

We not only allow  $e^{i\omega t}$  just to repeat itself through the parameter  $t$ , but also that the radial **parity operation**  $e^{i\pi} = -1$  as an **inversion in the plane** must be antisymmetric anti-identical

$$(3.120) \quad \tilde{r}(\rho) = -\tilde{r}(-\rho) \in \mathbb{R},$$

through a center. This means, the real radial function as the object in a plane for an oscillator **entity**  $\Psi_\omega$  must be an **odd function**. Here it is noted that the two polar radial parity antagonists balance each other  $\tilde{r}(\rho) + \tilde{r}(-\rho) = 0$  in accordance with Newton's third law,<sup>86</sup> while the anti-symmetric difference represents the internal plane stress field probability distribution

<sup>85</sup> To be precise we will below in this book call a **parity inversion** operation for an **extension parity inversion of first grade directions**.

<sup>86</sup> Newton's third law is following a line parity operation as inverse balance along a straight line.