Research

on

th

0

2

priori

Of

Physics

(2.

Geometric

Critique

of Pure

Mathematical Reasoning

Edition

 \bigcirc

N

020-

 $\frac{12}{2}$

December 2022

lens

Andres

en

- I. . The Time in the Natural Space – 2. The Parameter Dependent Mechanics – 2.2. The Hamilton Function –

2.2. The Hamilton Function

The second order Euler-Lagrange equation (2.7) can be directly used when we include spatial aspects. Here we will go over to the Hamilton formalism, where, instead of the parameter derivative \dot{q}_i of the generalised *quantities* q_i , we introduce the connected *momentum quantities* p_i ,

$$(2.13) \quad p_i =$$

2.2.1.2. Generalised Canonical Quantities

дa;

 q_i, p_i is now called the *canonical quantities*, and p_i is called the *conjugate quantities* to q_i . As with the *quantity* $q = \{q_0, ..., q_N\}$ we use $p = \{p_0, ..., p_N\}$ the combined *quantities* q and p for the sum *entity* Ψ_{Σ} , which consists of N+1 sub *entities*, for i = 0, 1, ..., N, and where we imply all linear relationships, e.g., $H(q, p) = \sum_{i} H(q_i, p_i)$. (remark no parameter t) Traditionally the generalised set of (q, p) is called a point in a so called *phase-space*. (not natural space) By inserting (2.13) in (2.7) we can note the classical concept of 'the force'⁵⁰

2.14)
$$\dot{p}_i =$$

Using a Legandre transformation⁵¹ we can switch the function dependency of the two independent variable argument *quantities*, $(q, \dot{q}) \leftrightarrow (q, p)$. In that, we use the total differential of L from (2.3), and insert the definition (2.13) and (2.14), we get

15)
$$dL = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} dq_i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} d\dot{q}_i + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt\right) = \dot{p}_i dq_i + p_i d\dot{q}_i + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt\right)$$
$$= \dot{p}_i dp_i + d(p_i \dot{q}_i) - \dot{q}_i dp_i + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt\right)$$

By moving $d(p_i \dot{q}_i)$ from the right to the left side and change the sign, we get

(2.16)
$$d(p_i \dot{q}_i - L) = -\dot{p}_i dq_i + \dot{q}_i dp_i - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt\right)$$

Comparing the argument in the differential with the energy function (2.11), and using (2.13), we now form the **Hamilton function**.

$$(2.17) H(q,p,t) = p \cdot \dot{q} - L(q,\dot{q},t).$$

This function formula changes the dependence of arguments between the *quantities*:

$$(2.18) \qquad (q,p) \leftrightarrow (q,\dot{q})$$

We look at the differentials of the Hamilton function H(q, p, t), and then compares with (2.16)

2.19)
$$dH = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} dq_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} dp_i + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} dt\right),$$

2.20)
$$dH = -\dot{p}_i dq_i + \dot{q}_i dp_i - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt\right).$$

Instead of the Euler-Lagrange equation (2.7) we form Hamilton's canonical equations

(2.21)	$\dot{q}_i =$	$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$	~	dq _i dt	=	$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$
(2.22)	$\dot{p}_i = -$	$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$	~	$rac{dp_i}{dt}$	= -	$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$

These **canonical equations** are the stationary condition for the physical *entity* Ψ to be stable with the *quantities* q, p and H(q, p)

(2.23) We have the explicit parameter derivative
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}$$
 (= 0)
The (= 0) is preferred in the model for the *entity* Ψ to make it external **conservative**.

This quantity expresses the quality that Newton and classical physics interpret as the concept of force. The Legendre transformation pdx = d(px) - xdp is connected to integration by parts $\int p dx = px - \int x dp$.

C Jens Erfurt Andresen, M.Sc. Physics, Denmark -50-Research on the a priori of Physics

For quotation reference use: ISBN-13: 978-8797246931

2.2.1.3. The Poisson Bracket

Let us look at some function f(q, p) that depends on the canonical *quantities* q and p. When (2.21) and (2.22) applies, the total parameter differential of f becomes

(2.24)
$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \{f\}$$

Here For simplification of that term, we will use the Poisson brackets defined as follows

(2.25)
$$\{f,g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}$$
 (reme

This expresses the difference between the total and the explicit parameter derivative of f, hence

(2.26)
$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H\} + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\partial f}$$

We prefer the indifferent $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0$ for all functions f(q, p) without explicitly dependent of t, so

(2.27)
$$\frac{df(q,p)}{dt} = \{f,H\}$$

The condition that a *quantity* function f may be a conserved integral of all the movements and internal changes for the *entity* Ψ for all one parameter values $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{52}$ is that also the total development of one parameter derivative vanishes, $\frac{df}{dt} = 0$

$$(2.28) \quad \{f,H\} = 0$$

Special for H we get

$$(2.29) \qquad \{H, H\} = 0$$

This is consistent with, that the Hamilton function H(q, p) is development independent of one parameter t, when (2.21) and (2.22) apply. Written in detail as in (2.24), we have

(2.30)
$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \dot{q} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \dot{p} = -$$

We note now that the Hamilton function $H(q_i, p_i)$ must be designed so that q_i, p_i is explicitly independent, i.e., that

31)
$$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial q_i} = 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial p_i} = 0$ for all $\forall i, \forall j \in \{0, 1\}$

Then the fundamental relationships we rewrite by Poisson brackets for **canonical** q, p

(2.32)	$\{q,q\}$	=	0	⇐	<u> </u>	$-\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial q_i}$	j li
(2.33)	$\{p,p\}$	=	0	⇐	$rac{\partial p_j}{\partial q_i} rac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_i}$ -	$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial q_i}$) j 1 i
(2.34)	{q, p}	=	$\sum \delta_{ij} \rightarrow N$				
Hamilton's canonical equations (2.21) and (2.22)							
(2.35)	$\{q, H\}$	=	ġ	=	<u>дн</u> др	= Σ	_ i
(2.36)	$\{p, H\}$	=	<i>p</i>	=	$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$	$= -\Sigma$	ן נב
		-	with this formu this book go fu	latio	on is that v		

⁵² In practice we are limited to $\forall t \in [t_A, t_B]$ from the beginning A to the end B of the <i>entity</i> Ψ .						
© Jens Erfurt Andresen, M.Sc. NBI-UCPH,	- 51 -	Volume I, – Edition 2 – 2020-22,				
For quotation reference use:	ISBN-13:	978-8797246931				

(2.

$$\left\{ \dot{q}_{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i} \right\}$$

ember the implicit sum \sum_{i} for double indices *i*)

 $\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = \{H, H\} = 0$

 $.2...N\} \subset \mathbb{N}$

 $= 1 \cdot 0 - 0 \cdot 1 = 0$

 $= 0 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ (remember \sum_{ii})

 $\frac{i}{2} = (1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1)_{i=i} \rightarrow N = \sum_{i=i} \delta_{ij}$

are then written as

∂Н

 $\frac{1}{\partial p_i}$

∂Н

 $\frac{1}{\partial q_i}$

ot need an explicit external parameter t. Theorem etc. the literature is rich in this.

Volume I – Edition 2 – 2020-22, – Revision 6

December 2022